

**Ballistic Missile Defense Organization  
Test and Engineering Resources  
BMDO/TOT**

**1725 Jefferson Davis Highway  
Crystal Square 2, Suite 1000  
Arlington, VA 22202**

**Phone: (703) 604-3490**

**Fax: (703) 604-3923**

**From: Hank Marien, c/o Mike Krzykowski, (703) 276-4043**

---

**Date: 7/2/97**

**To: SEE BELOW**

**Phone: SEE BELOW**

**Fax: SEE BELOW**

---

**Message:**

There has been a change in paragraph 6 of the minutes, hence this fax. Please pass to:

RON KNEEBONE  
LARRY FISHER  
TOM TURNER  
MALCOLM WALDEN  
CHRIS TILLMAN  
RODGER OLSON  
STAN MC ALLISTER  
WILLIAM A. SMITH  
DAVE VALE  
BUDDY BECK  
GREG WALLIS

SIOTE-IRE  
SIOTE-IR  
SIOTE-PWE  
SIOTE-PWE  
SIOTE-PWE  
CESWF-AOSW  
WSMR NRO-CR  
USASSDC  
TBE  
USASSDC

(505)342-3355/(505)342-3199  
(801)833-3514/(801)833-2839  
(801)833-3504/(801)833-3932  
(801)833-3040/(801)833-3201  
(801)833-2114/(801)833-3370  
(801)833-2114/(801)833-3370  
(505)488-5625/(505)488-5626  
(505)678-5332/(505)678-6429  
(205)955-4811/(205)955-5074  
(205)276-1168/(205)726-2779  
(205)955-4847/(205)955-2226



**Ft. Wingate Missile Launch Complex  
In-Progress Review Minutes  
June 10, 1997**

1. Mr. Marien from BMDO/TOT opened the meeting by explaining the purpose was to bring together all of the interested parties to review current status of activity, and go over the open issues (agenda attached). The goal for the end of the day was to bring everyone up to the same level of knowledge on the open issues for the Ft. Wingate transfer and operation and develop action items. He briefly went over organizational charts of DoD, Army, and BMDO so the group understand functional relationships of the players. The meeting was co-chaired by Ms. Pat Flynt of DAIM-BO.
2. Ms. Flynt added that she had three objectives for Ft. Wingate. They were:
  - Dispose of the property to Department of Interior
  - Retain what land we need for DoD (BMDO)
  - Achieve environmental cleanup to Army standards
3. Mr. Gill (CESWF-WS-RO) briefed the status of the missile launch facility construction. He indicated that stabilization of the roads and fencing around the data gathering sites was still being worked on, but that all work would be essentially complete by the end of June 1997. Mr. Marien emphasized BMDO needs to understand what easements will be required, and what land needs to be retained. This is a major impact upon the fencing issue. A future security fencing project for Ft. Wingate is under review by the BMDO Project Validation Board. In addition, a road project for the site is under consideration, but has been deferred until FY 99. Bill Smith (WSMR NRO-CR) requested Mr. Marien consider including road access on the east side of the site from state route 400.
4. Ms. Ajemian (CESWF-PM-J) briefed that the New Mexico State Police have requested use of the old Ft. Wingate pistol range. The Army needs to decide soon if the request will be granted since there is a question about the environmental cleanup of the site, if the site is to be reused as a range. The Army expects to retain an area of the open burning/ open detonation area (OBOD). It is unknown who will keep this area in the distant future after all other property is transferred. The current plan is to have the same real property agent manage this area along with the rest of Ft. Wingate. The area is in the launch evacuation area and will be separately fenced. In general, there aren't any conflicts between the clean-up and launch operations.
5. Mr. Walden (SIOTE-RSB) provided some background on the issue of Ft. Wingate's reuse. The Department of Interior now wants the entire site, but they do not want it until it is cleaned up. The local reuse group has fallen apart and the State does not want to get involved. Local citizens would like to have the land, but it is unlikely that there will be much reuse. The south 6000 acres will not be transferred to the forest service as once thought. Since this land is in the Launch Hazard Area, BMDO must consider the necessity of requesting the Army to retain this property. BLM will not normally allow military actions on

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the Secretary of the State to the Governor, dated 18th March 1871. It contains a report on the state of the country and the progress of the various departments of the Government.

2. The second part of the document is a report from the Secretary of the State to the Governor, dated 25th March 1871. It contains a report on the state of the country and the progress of the various departments of the Government.

3. The third part of the document is a report from the Secretary of the State to the Governor, dated 1st April 1871. It contains a report on the state of the country and the progress of the various departments of the Government.

4. The fourth part of the document is a report from the Secretary of the State to the Governor, dated 8th April 1871. It contains a report on the state of the country and the progress of the various departments of the Government.

5. The fifth part of the document is a report from the Secretary of the State to the Governor, dated 15th April 1871. It contains a report on the state of the country and the progress of the various departments of the Government.

6. The sixth part of the document is a report from the Secretary of the State to the Governor, dated 22nd April 1871. It contains a report on the state of the country and the progress of the various departments of the Government.

BLM land, therefore any release of the land must be accompanied by a "transfer assembly" that will guarantee a commitment of cooperation for launch activity. If BLM will not take the land with that restriction, the Army should retain that land.

6. Mr. Marien guided a discussion about who will manage the real property at Ft. Wingate for BMDO. Since most of the launch activity at Ft. Wingate will be conducted by White Sands Missile Range, it seems logical that White Sands Missile Range would be the real property manager. However, TECOM, Army Material Command's sub-MACOM responsible for managing WSMR, has expressly declined to assume responsibility for Fort Wingate. The issue has been further clouded, by Army Material Command's request to SSDC to consider assuming responsibility for managing Fort Wingate. Mr. Walden indicated that Tooele must remain in an ownership role until some type of transfer takes place. The Department of Army supports this role. SSDC is gathering information and is not yet in a position to determine if they wish to take on management of the launch complex. It is expected to take several months to iron this out. In the meantime, Tooele stated that they do not have any legal documentation in place that allows BMDO to conduct operations at Ft. Wingate. Mr. Marien and Ms. Flynt pointed out that a memorandum was sent from Army (IL&E) to OSD on behalf of BMDO, and OSD approved the decision to retain property for BMDO use. There was further discussion on the issue of an outgrant by the Army to BMDO, but it was unclear exactly what documentation is required. LTC Butters and Ms. Flynt will coordinate an initial agreement to define BMDO use at Ft. Wingate, and Tooele will coordinate with Industrial Operations Command (IOC) to define documentation required by the Army.
7. Greg Vickers (STEWS-NRO-CP) addressed an Inter service Support Agreement that is being finalized with Tooele (TEAD) to cover common use facility operation, environmental cleanup, explosive ordinance support, real property, fire protection, access and other services. The agreement should be finished by the end of June or early July of this year and forwarded to Tooele.
8. LTC Butters (BMDO/DGC) brought up the subject of legal jurisdiction. BRAC has requested from the State of New Mexico a change from exclusive to concurrent jurisdiction for Ft. Wingate. The State has not acted upon the request and it was suggested that the issue be redressed with the State. As a tenant, BMDO does not have a requirement, but the Army should seek to retrocede to at least concurrent if not proprietary jurisdiction. IOC and USACE Real Estate Representatives will confirm the status of the retrocession proposal and suggest strategy to revive it.
9. The BMDO position on the water system requirements at Ft. Wingate is to retain the water system. There is a 90 g/m artesian well located in the cantonment area. The Army owns the rights to the water and at present there are no competing uses.
10. Mr. Romeo (CEHNC-PM) briefed the status of the boundary survey. He indicated that surveys to allow ownership or easements on property have been accomplished. BMDO still needs to determine a final plan for easements and land retention. It was also pointed out that

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes the need for transparency and accountability in financial reporting.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and techniques used to collect and analyze data. It includes a detailed description of the experimental procedures and the statistical analysis performed on the results.

3. The third part of the document presents the findings of the study. It includes a comparison of the results with previous research and a discussion of the implications of the findings for future research.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the limitations of the study and the potential for future research. It also includes a conclusion and a list of references.

5. The fifth part of the document is a summary of the key findings and conclusions of the study. It provides a clear and concise overview of the research and its implications.

6. The sixth part of the document is a list of references, including books, articles, and other sources used in the research.

BLM owns a "buffer" between Ft. Wingate and state route 400. If the east access is built, it may require coordination and an MOU with BLM.

11. Mr. Walden and Mr. Olson TEAD (SIOTE-PWE) discussed the status of utility privatization. He explained that Army guidance is to privatize all utilities. The issue should have zero impact at Ft. Wingate and on BMDO. Electric power is already purchased, therefore it is only a matter of where the meter is. Since there are no other customers at Ft. Wingate, privatization of other utilities is not likely. The topic will be briefed in detail at the next meeting.
12. Mr. Marien went through the action items (attached), and suggested that the group get back together in the fall to review progress.

1945  
1946  
1947  
1948  
1949  
1950  
1951  
1952  
1953  
1954  
1955  
1956  
1957  
1958  
1959  
1960  
1961  
1962  
1963  
1964  
1965  
1966  
1967  
1968  
1969  
1970  
1971  
1972  
1973  
1974  
1975  
1976  
1977  
1978  
1979  
1980  
1981  
1982  
1983  
1984  
1985  
1986  
1987  
1988  
1989  
1990  
1991  
1992  
1993  
1994  
1995  
1996  
1997  
1998  
1999  
2000  
2001  
2002  
2003  
2004  
2005  
2006  
2007  
2008  
2009  
2010  
2011  
2012  
2013  
2014  
2015  
2016  
2017  
2018  
2019  
2020  
2021  
2022  
2023  
2024  
2025



Ballistic Missile Defense Organization  
Ft. Wingate In-Progress Review  
June 10, 1997

Action Item Review

No.	Action Item	OPR	Suspense
1.	Define boundaries of BMDO retained property/easements at Ft. Wingate	BMDO WSMR SSDC	Nov 97
2.	Settle disposition of South 6000 acres develop a "Transfer Assembly" or retain.	Army BRAC BMDO	Aug 97
3.	WSMR to provide proposed Interservice Support Agreement to Tooele with support plans	WSMR	Jul 97
4.	Determine who will fund environmental cleanup and monitoring after FY 01 (Intra-Army)	Army	Open Issue
5.	Define BMDO environmental responsibility at Ft. Wingate	BMDO	Nov 97
6.	Coordinate environmental cleanup actions and launch activity	WSMR FWD	Continuous
7.	Define land use documentation required by Army for BMDO use of Ft. Wingate. Formalize BMDO use of Ft. Wingate property through outgrant permit or other documentation.	IOC TEAD	Jul 97
8.	Revive Army/BMDO MOA as basis for legal documentation for BMDO mission.	BMDO Army BRAC	Aug 97
9.	Retrocession of legal jurisdiction to concurrent jurisdiction. Determine status of submitted package and rework as necessary.	District COE (SWF)	Oct 97
10.	Develop land use plan for launch activity	WSMR	Oct 97
11.	Verify status of water rights now and after transfer. Also, status of lines/pumps.	TEAD	Sep 97
12.	Decision on New Mexico State Police use of pistol range vs. clean up	IOC Army BRAC	Aug 97
13.	Provide Installation survey and facility map showing new construction of facilities	HSV COE	Jul 97
14.	SSDC position on proposed Ft. Wingate property transfer to these, including retention of OB/DD area	SSDC	Oct 97
15.	SSDC to add Tooele to distribution list of target launch schedule.	SSDC Targets	Jul 97
16.	BMDO and Tooele to coordinate and provide COE with scope of road repair.	BMDO TEAD	Jun 97
17.	Review requirement for security fencing	BMDO WSMR	Nov 97

Wilmington, Delaware  
June 10, 1957

Dear Mr. [Name]

Reference is made to your letter of June 7, 1957, regarding the proposed merger of [Company Name] and [Company Name]. The Board of Directors of [Company Name] has considered your proposal and has concluded that it is not in the best interests of the shareholders to effect such a merger at this time.

The Board of Directors of [Company Name] has concluded that the proposed merger is not in the best interests of the shareholders of [Company Name] for the following reasons:

1. The proposed merger would result in a loss of control of [Company Name] by the current shareholders.
2. The proposed merger would result in a loss of independence of [Company Name] from [Company Name].
3. The proposed merger would result in a loss of the ability of [Company Name] to operate as an independent company.
4. The proposed merger would result in a loss of the ability of [Company Name] to attract new investment.
5. The proposed merger would result in a loss of the ability of [Company Name] to pay dividends to its shareholders.

The Board of Directors of [Company Name] has concluded that it is not in the best interests of the shareholders of [Company Name] to effect such a merger at this time. The Board of Directors of [Company Name] has concluded that it is in the best interests of the shareholders of [Company Name] to continue to operate as an independent company.

Very truly yours,  
[Name]  
[Title]

No.	Action Item	OPR	Suspense
18.	IOC to identify real property actions required in FY 97 and beyond that will require Fort Worth COE support. (outgrants, new actions)	IOC	Nov 97
19.	Brief utility privatization proposal at next meeting.	TEAD	Sep 97
20.	Determine what level of environmental analysis is required on the effect of proposed security fences on bison.	SSDC BMDO IOC TEAD	Aug 97
21.	Develop proposal for programmatic cultural resources plan and activities per Theater Missile Defense Extended Range with respect to missile activities.	SSDC ABQ COE	Aug 97
22.	Develop scope of work and proposed cost for upgraded road and access from Rt. 400 to target launch complex.	WSMR	



**Date: 10 June 1997**

**Subject: In-Progress Review, TMD Target Launch Facilities, Fort Wingate Depot Activity, NM, In-Progress Review**

<b><u>ATTENDEE</u></b>	<b><u>OFFICE SYMBOL</u></b>	<b><u>PHONE #/FAX</u></b>
LTC ROGER A. BUTTERS	BMDO/DGC	(703)693-1550/(703)614-5407
GREG VICKERS	STEWS-NRO-CP	(505)678-1541/(505)678-1904
RON KNEEBONE		(505)342-3355/(505)342-3199
JOHN ROMEO	CEHNC-PM	(205)895-1461/(205)895-1173
JIM SMITH	TBE	(205)726-1217/(205)726-2695
RICHARD O. MARTINEZ	WSMR, MTD-MA	(505)678-1047/(505)678-4410
MIKE MOCEK	CESWF-PM	(817)978-3565/(817)978-7046
BILL DAVIS	CSSD-EN-V	(205)955-2190/(205)978-5174
JUNE AHRENS	CESWF-RE-MD	(817)978-4051/(817)978-8480
PAT FLYNT	DAIM-BO	(703)693-9216/(703)693-7621
GLENN HERMAN	WSMR/NRU	(505)678-0900/(505)678-6161
JOHN JENSEN	WSMR/NRU	(505)678-4900/(505)678-3275
MIKE KRZYKOWSKI	SCICOMM	(703)276-4031/(703)276-4078
DUANE NELSON	SFAE-AMD-TSD-D	(205)955-4375/(205)955-1742
JUDY ANDERSON	AMSIO-AEE	(309)782-8398/(309)782-2756
KATHY DOYLE	AMSIO-ISR	(309)782-2609/(309)782-2745
LARRY FISHER	SIOTE-IRE	(801)833-3514/(801)833-2839

(CONTINUATION)

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY  
5800 S. UNIVERSITY AVENUE  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TO: THE DIRECTOR, NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS  
433 RIVERSIDE DRIVE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20535

FROM: DR. J. H. GOLDSTEIN, CHICAGO  
5800 S. UNIVERSITY AVENUE  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

SUBJECT: NEUTRON SCAFFOLDING

RE: NEUTRON SCAFFOLDING

1. The following information is being furnished to you for your information and for the use of the National Bureau of Standards in the event that you should be interested in the work being done in this field.

2. The work is being done in the Department of Chemistry, University of Chicago, under the direction of Dr. J. H. Goldstein.

3. The work is being done in connection with the study of the structure of the nucleus of the atom.

4. The work is being done in connection with the study of the structure of the nucleus of the atom.

5. The work is being done in connection with the study of the structure of the nucleus of the atom.

6. The work is being done in connection with the study of the structure of the nucleus of the atom.

7. The work is being done in connection with the study of the structure of the nucleus of the atom.

8. The work is being done in connection with the study of the structure of the nucleus of the atom.

9. The work is being done in connection with the study of the structure of the nucleus of the atom.

10. The work is being done in connection with the study of the structure of the nucleus of the atom.

<u>ATTENDEE</u>	<u>OFFICE SYMBOL</u>	<u>PHONE #/FAX</u>
TOM TURNER	SIOTE-IR	(801)833-3504/(801)833-3932
DORINDA BENSON	DIOTE-PWE	(801)833-3722/(801)833-3929
MALCOLM WALDEN	SIOTE-PWE	(801)833-3040/(801)833-3201
CHRIS TILLMAN	SIOTE-PWE	(801)833-2114/(801)833-3370
RODGER OLSON	SIOTE-PWE	(801)833-2114/(801)833-3370
BILL BRADLEY	AMSIO-BCE	(309)782-8418/(309)782-8022
EDGAR AGY	AMSIO-EQE	(309)782-1124/(309)782-1379
STAN MC ALLISTER	CESWF-AOSW	(505)488-5625/(505)488-5626
KATRINA M. AJEMIAN	CESWF-PM-J	(817)978-2791/(817)978-7046
EDWARD J. GILL	CESWF-WS-RO	(505)678-0601/(505)678-1662
DIRK J. DECKER	CESWF-AO-W	(505)678-1238/(505)678-1662
WILLIAM A. SMITH	WSMR NRO-CR	(505)678-5332/(505)678-6429
ROLAND RAY	CESWF-EC-AM	(817)978-4919/(817)978-4680
DAVE VALE	USASSDC	(205)955-4811/(205)955-5074
BUDDY BECK	TBE	(205)276-1168/(205)726-2779
GREG WALLIS	USASSDC	(205)955-4847/(205)955-2226
HANK MARIEN	BMDO/TOT	(703)604-3490/(703)604-3923

Table with 3 columns and multiple rows of text, likely a list or index.



**Ft. Wingate IPR  
JUNE 10, 1997****NAME****E-MAIL****BILL BRADLEY**

wbradley@rio-emh2.army.mil

**BILL DAVIS**

davisb@ssdch usassdc.army.mil

**BILL SMITH****CHRIS TILLMAN**

ctillman@tooele-emh1.army.mil

**DIRK DECKER**

ddecker@swf01.usace.army.mil

**DORINDA BENSON**

siotepw@tooele-emh1.army.mil

**DUANE NELSON**

nelson@md.redstone.army.mil

**EDGAR AGY**

eagy@ria-emh2.army.mil

**EDWARD J. GILL**

ed.gill@swf01.usace.army.mil

**GLENN HERMAN**

hermang@wsmr.army.mil

**GREG VICKERS**

vickersg@usace.army.mil

**JIM SMITH**

james.smith@pobox.tbe.com

**JOHN JENSON**

jensenj@wsmr.army.mil

**JOHN ROMEO**

romeoj@smtp.hnd.usace.army.mil

**JUDY ANDERSON**

janderso@ria-emh2.army.mil

**JUNE AHRENS**

june.a.ahrens@swf01.army.mil

**KATHY DOYLE**

kdoyle@ria-emh2.army.mil

**KATRINA AJEMIAN**

katrina.ajemian@swf01.usace.army.mil

**LTC ROGER BUTTERS**

roger.butters@bmdo.osd.mil

**MIKE KRZYKOWSKI**

mkrzykowski@Scicomm.com

**MIKE MOCEK**

mocek@usace.army.com

**PAT FLYNT**

flynt@pentagon braco.army.mil

**RICHARD O. MARTINEZ**

romartin@mt.wsmrm.army.mil

**ROLAND RAY**

ray.roland@swf01.usace.army.mil

**RON KNEEBONE**

ronald.kneebone@swa01.usace.army.mil

**STAN MC ALLISTER**

stanm@cia-g.com

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941

1941